



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,760	02/09/2004	Erik B. Christensen	MSI-1863US	8719
22801	7590	02/10/2009	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES, PLLC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 1400 SPokane, WA 99201			PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			2164
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
02/10/2009	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/775,760	CHRISTENSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sathyaranarayanan Pannala	<b>Art Unit</b> 2164	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sathyaranarayanan Pannala. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brett J. Schiameus. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.11 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Walker (US Patent 6,665,729) and Wooley et al. (USPA Pub. 20040001514 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed claims rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph and Examiner explained how to overcome the rejection. Applicant discussed proposed amendment with reference to prior art and Applicant is confident of proposed claims will overcome the rejection. Examiner suggested Applicant to amend claims more specific without applying the new subject matter.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sathyaranarayanan Pannala/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164